# Crystal and Molecular Structure of trans-4-Aminocrotonic Acid 

By Graham P. Jones * and Peter J. Pauling, William Ramsay, Ralph Forster, and Christopher Ingold Laboratories University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT

Crystals of the title compound are monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c, a=8.863(3), b=7.870(2), c=14.971$ (5) $A$, $\beta=115.37(3)^{\circ}, Z=8$. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by least-squares procedure to a value $R 0.048$ for 1834 observed diffraction maxima. The location of all hydrogen atoms (difference-Fourier synthesis) shows that the molecules are zwitterions linked by a three-dimensional network of hydrogen bonds.
$\gamma$-Aminobutyric acid (I) is considered to be an inhibitory transmitter substance in mammalian brain.,1,2 As part of a study of the correlation of molecular structure and physiological activity of (I) and of its analogues, we undertook the crystal structure determination of trans-4aminocrotonic acid (II) which has similar physiological affects. ${ }^{3}$

## EXPERIMENTAL

Crystals of (II) were recrystallised from aqueous solution by a slow evaporation of the solvent, as needles, elongated along $b$.

Crystal Data.- $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \quad M=101.1$, Monoclinic, $a=$ 8.863(3), $\quad b=7.870(2), \quad c=14.971(5) ~ \AA, \quad \beta=115.37(3)^{\circ}$, $U=940.8 \AA^{3}, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.426 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, Z=8, D_{\mathrm{m}}=1.43(1)$ $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. Space group $P 2_{1} / c$ (No. 14, $C_{2 h}^{5}$ ); Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=0.7107 \AA ; \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=1.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Intensity Measurements.-Three dimensional $X$-ray diffraction data from a single crystal ca. $0.30 \times 0.35 \times 0.30$
${ }^{1}$ E. Roberts and E. Eidelberg, Internat. Rev. Neurobiol., 1960, 2, 479 .
${ }_{2}{ }^{\text {D }}$. R. Curtis and J. C. Watkins, Pharmacol. Rev., 1965, 17, 347.
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mm were measured on a computer-controlled ${ }^{4}$ four-circle diffractometer by use of graphite monochromatised (002) Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation. A coupled $\theta-2 \theta$ step scan with $\Delta 2 \theta 0.04^{\circ}$, counting time of 3 s per step, and peakwidth of $1.6^{\circ}$ were used. In the range $205-60^{\circ}, 1834$ diffraction maxima of a possible 2944 in a quadrant of reciprocal space ( $h, k, \pm l$ ) had $I \geqslant 3 \sigma(I)$ and were considered observed. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects but not for absorption or extinction.

Structure Solution and Refinement.--Normalized structure factors $|E|$ were calculated from the observed structure factors by the $K$ curve method ${ }^{5,6}$ normalizing ( $h, k, \pm l$; $l$ even) and ( $h, k, \pm l ; l$ odd) separately to achieve the condition $\left.\left.\langle | E\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{av}}=1.0$.
An initial set of phases for 179 reflections with $|E| \geqslant 1.5$ was obtained using the multiple-solution technique and cyclic refinement by the tangent formula. ${ }^{7,8}$ An $E$ map calculated with the phases derived from the most consistent solution revealed the positions of all non-hydrogen atoms in the unit cell.

Refinement of the scale factor and overall temperature
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factor on these atoms gave $R=0.306$. Three cycles of fullmatrix least-squares refinement of the positional parameters reduced $R$ to 0.123 , at which point the individual isotropic thermal parameters were allowed to refine for two cycles
hydrogen-atom positions, by full-matrix least-squares were continued until all parameter shifts were $\leqslant 0.1 \sigma$. The function minimised was $\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}$ with $w=1$ for all reflections.

Table 1
Fractional co-ordinates and thermal motion parameters $\left(\times 10^{4}\right) *$ derived from least-squares refinement, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(1) | 2 264(3) | $4135(3)$ | $1137(2)$ | 326(7) | 197(6) | 357(5) | 33(6) | 13(6) | 4(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 2 740(3) | $2332(3)$ | $1458(2)$ | 293(7) | 221(6) | 284(4) | 12(6) | $92(6)$ | $29(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 2 048(3) | $1003(3)$ | 902(2) | 262(7) | 217(6) | 257(4) | 25(5) | $82(6)$ | 26(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 2641 (3) | -781(3) | 1 194(2) | 254(6) | 210(6) | 290(4) | 1 (6) | 114(5) | 31 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2053(2)$ | - $1891(2)$ | 525(1) | 516(6) | 229(5) | 316(3) | 43(5) | 71 (3) | -16(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 3 700(2) | - 1090 (2) | 2 057(1) | 468(6) | 295(5) | 305(3) | 21 (5) | 30(3) | 65(3) |
| N(1) | 3 719(3) | $5085(3)$ | 1140 (2) | 308(6) | 155(5) | 281 (3) | 4(4) | 98(3) | 17(4) |
| C(11) | $3143(3)$ | $6627(3)$ | $3695(2)$ | $357(7)$ | 232(6) | 313(3) | $-75(5)$ | 97(5) | $20(4)$ |
| C(12) | 3 234(3) | 4727 (3) | 3 808(2) | 271(7) | 255(6) | 260(4) | 14(4) | 92(4) | 17(4) |
| C(13) | $1971(3)$ | $3669(3)$ | 3 607(2) | 266(6) | 182(5) | 253(4) | 18(4) | 61(4) | 23(4) |
| C(14) | 2 195(3) | $1768(3)$ | 3 728(2) | 308(6) | $189(5)$ | 222(3) | $21(4)$ | 119(4) | 26(3) |
| O(11) | 914(2) | 881(2) | 3 220(1) | 348(6) | 203(5) | 380(3) | $-27(3)$ | 51 (3) | 15(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 3 572(2) | $1178(2)$ | 4 308(1) | 335(5) | 286(5) | 328(3) | 98(4) | 64(3) | $68(3)$ |
| N (11) | 1485 (3) | 7324 (2) | $3538(2)$ | 328(6) | 124(5) | 249(4) | $-9(4)$ | 29(4) | -4(3) |

* The Debye-Waller factor is defined as $T=\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2} \Sigma \Sigma a_{i}{ }^{*} a_{j}{ }^{*} h_{i} h_{j} U_{i j}\right]$ with $U$ in $\AA^{2}$.
reducing $R$ to 0.113 . A further three cycles of anisotropic refinement reduced $R$ to 0.074 . A difference-Fourier

Table 2
Fractional co-ordinates $\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$ for hydrogen atoms derived from least-squares refinement, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H(1) | $389(4)$ | $472(5)$ | $59(3)$ |
| H(2) | $467(5)$ | $496(5)$ | $166(3)$ |
| H(3) | $3442(4)$ | $623(5)$ | $95(3)$ |
| H(4) | $131(4)$ | $419(4)$ | $41(3)$ |
| H(5) | $172(4)$ | $472(4)$ | $158(2)$ |
| H(6) | $359(4)$ | $217(5)$ | $211(3)$ |
| H(7) | $119(4)$ | $116(4)$ | $21(2)$ |
| H(11) | $124(4)$ | $709(4)$ | $416(3)$ |
| H(12) | $61(4)$ | $682(5)$ | $299(3)$ |
| H(13) | $132(4)$ | $853(5)$ | $349(3)$ |
| H(14) | $402(4)$ | $716(4)$ | $426(3)$ |
| H(15) | $339(4)$ | $695(4)$ | $310(2)$ |
| H(16) | $434(4)$ | $428(4)$ | $400(2)$ |
| H(17) | $77(4)$ | $404(4)$ | $340(2)$ |

All hydrogen atoms have $U=0.032 \AA^{2}$.
Table 3
Interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for nonhydrogen atoms, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses
(a) Distances

| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.500(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.503(3)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.3143)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.322(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.497(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.510(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.262(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.270(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.253(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.246(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.489(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.490(3)$ |
| $(b)$ Angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $110.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $112.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $124.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $127.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $116.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $115.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $119.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $119.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $123.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $124.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}) 3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $124.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $122.8(2)$ |

synthesis at this stage clearly indicated all hydrogen atom positions. The final cycles of refinement, including the

- See Notice to Authors No. 7 in J.C.S. Perkin II, 1974, Index issue.

Atomic scattering factors for neutral carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen were taken from ref. 9, and for hydrogen from ref. 10. The final $R$ is 0.048 . Final positional and thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms are in Table 1, hydrogen

Table 4
Interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ involving hydrogen atoms, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses
(a) Distances

| $\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0.95(4)$ | $\mathrm{H}(11)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | $1.06(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0.88(4)$ | $\mathrm{H}(12)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | $0.94(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(3)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0.95(4)$ | $\mathrm{H}(13)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | $0.96(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.05(3)$ | $\mathrm{H}(14)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $0.93(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.07(3)$ | $\mathrm{H}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.03(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.95(3)$ | $\mathrm{H}(16)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $0.96(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.00(3)$ | $\mathrm{H}(17)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.02(3)$ |
| $(b) \mathrm{Angles}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $108(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(11)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $111(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $117(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(12)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $112(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $110(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(13)-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $119(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $111(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(14)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $110(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $110(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $109(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $120(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(16)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $120(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $120(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(17)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $113(2)$ |

Table 5
Torsion angles (deg.) for non-hydrogen atoms, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $-118.8(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $-174.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $-168.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $9.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $133.14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $178.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(144)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $-157.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $23.4(4)$ |

atom positions in Table 2, and bond distances and angles in Tables 3 and 4, torsion angles in Table 5. Observed and calculated structure factors have been deposited as Supplementary Publication, No. SUP 21243 ( 4 pp., 1 microfiche).*
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## DISCUSSION

The atom numbering scheme for both independent molecules is shown in Figure 1, the planes containing $\mathrm{C}(2)$-(4) for both molecules being coincident with the plane of the paper. Molecular packing and hydrogen bonding are shown in Figures 2 and 3 which are viewed in the direction of $-\mathrm{a}^{*}$.


Figure 1 Atomic numbering for both independent molecules; (a) molecule (A), (b) molecule (B)


Figure 2 Molecular packing viewed in the direction of -a*
The location in the difference-Fourier map of three hydrogen atoms tetrahedrally surrounding each nitrogen atom confirmed the existence of a zwitterionic structure. These hydrogen atoms are suitably placed to form hydrogen bonds with adjacent molecules via the carboxylate oxygen atoms, resulting in a three-dimensional network of hydrogen bonds. Atoms $\mathrm{O}(2)$ and $\mathrm{O}(12)$ are each
involved in one hydrogen bond whereas $\mathrm{O}(1)$ and $\mathrm{O}(11)$ are involved in two, the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ angles being 102 and $125^{\circ}$, respectively. The lengths of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$


Figure 3 Crystal structure viewed in the direction of -a*; broken lines indicate hydrogen bonds

Table 6
Hydrogen-bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ), with estimated standard deviations in parentheses
(a) Distances

| D... $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{1}\right)$ | 2.744(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\text {II }}\right.$ ) | 2.843(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(12^{\text {III }}\right.$ ) | 2.929(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\text {IV }}\right)$ | 2.817 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(11^{\text {v }}\right.$ ) | 2.818(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(11^{1}\right)$ | 2.848(3) |

(b) Angles

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ | $95.4(2)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(\mathbf{I n}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ | $100.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(12^{\mathrm{II}}\right)$ | $98.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\mathrm{Iv}}\right)$ | $94.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(11^{\mathrm{v}}\right)$ | $107.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(11^{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ | $118.7(2)$ |

* $\mathrm{D}=$ donor, $\mathrm{A}=$ acceptor. Roman numerals as superscripts denote the following transformations relative to the reference molecule at $x, y, z$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { I } x, 1+y, z & \text { IV } x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z \\
\text { II } 1-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z & \text { V }-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z \\
\text { III } x, \frac{1}{2}-y,-\frac{1}{2}+z &
\end{array}
$$

Table 7
Intramolecular N . . O distances ( $\AA$ ) in (I) and (II), with estimated standard deviations in parentheses
(a) $\operatorname{In}(\mathrm{II}){ }^{a}$

| $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}$ (1) | 5.657(3) | $\mathrm{N}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}(11)$ | $5.098(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 5.052(3) | $\mathrm{N}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}(12)$ | $5.130(3)$ |
| (b) $\operatorname{In}(\mathrm{I})^{b}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{O}$ (1) | 5.612(6) | $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 4.238(7) |
|  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ This w | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Ref. 13. |  |

bonds are given in Table 6. A close contact [O(12) $\ldots$ $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\mathrm{IT}}\right) 2.74 \AA$; $\left.\mathrm{O}(12) \cdots \mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1) 163^{\circ}\right]$ also exists in the crystal.

Molecule (A) is anti-clinal about the $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ bond whereas in (B) it is syn-periplanar, the respective torsion angles ${ }^{11}$ being $-118.8(3)$ and 13.1(4) ${ }^{\circ}$. Both molecules are syn-periplanar about the $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ bond, which is $1.497(3)$ in molecule (A) and $1.510(3) \AA$ in molecule (B),
${ }^{11}$ W. Klyne and V. Prelog, Experientia, 1960, 16, 521.
close to the value ( $1.504 \AA$ ) suggested ${ }^{12}$ for a $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)^{-}$ $C\left(s p^{2}\right)$ single bond.

The orientation of the charged amine group with respect to the carboxylate group is defined by the angle between the plane containing $\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{O}(2)$, and $\mathrm{C}(4)$ and that containing $\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{C}(1)$, and $\mathrm{C}(2)$. This angle is $69^{\circ}$ in molecule (A), and $55^{\circ}$ in molecule (B); these angles compare with $79^{\circ}$ found in (I). ${ }^{13}$
${ }^{12}$ L. Pauling, 'The Nature of the Chemical Bond,' 3rd edn., Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, 1960, 233.

Intramolecular $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distances for (II) are given in Table 7 together with those for (I).
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